from the original question itself.

SHRI S. R. BOMMAI: Sir, the original question is regarding the grant of repatriation.

**श्री शिव चरण सिंह: अधिकारी को जो ऐक्सटेंशन दिया गया, उसको कैंसिल करेंगे या नहीं?**

SHRI VISHNU KANT SHASTRI: Then why was extension given at all?

SHRI S. R. BOMMAI: The matter is pending in the court. He has gone to court against the Order.

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: If it is *sub judice,* then why have you put it here at all?

SHRI PRAKASH YASHWANT AMBEDKAR: Mr. Chairman, Sir, this is regarding deputationists in the Education Department to the various technical posts which are being filled through the UPSC. They are mostly for a period of five years. These are posts of a technical nature; and they are all very highly specialised jobs. Every five years those posts are advertised again and new officers are appointed against these posts. May I know from the hon. Minister whether there is going to be a definite policy with regard to the appointment of officers to various posts by the UPSC in the Ministry of Education?

SHRI S. R. BOMMAI: Mr. Chairman, Sir. normally when an officer is taken on deputation, he can continue for five years. The minimum period of deputation is three years and thereafter it can be extended up to five years.

SHRI PRAKASH YASHWANT AMBEDKAR: Instead of taking him on deputation for five years and then repatriating him to his parent office, why don't you appoint him on a permanent basis? During the course of his deputation, the officer might have made some new developments and the next officer who comes on deputation would change everything and everything goes off the mark.

SHRI S. R. BOMMAI: As it is, the policy is to get people from other Departments and make use of their services, their knowledge and experience for five years. There would be other people also who are equally competent and they have to be taken on a deputation. The system is working well.

SHRI PRAKASH YASHWANT AMBEDKAR: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I would like to know from the Minister whether he would take them on a permanent basis instead of getting them on deputation for five years. Either they go back to their parent office or remain in the same position. They become useless.

SHRI S. R. BOMMAI: The system *is* to get only new people into the Education Department and to make use of their experience and expertise.

SHRI PARAG CHALIHA: What about the serious allegation that was made? No answer has been given to it.

SHRI S. R. BOMMAI: 1 have answered it. Again I say that definitely there would be an inquiry into the allegation.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now, Question No 42, Shri Ashok Mitra. He is not here.

**42. [The Questioner (Shri Ashok Mitra) was absent. For answer vide col.27 infra.]*

SHRI MD. SALIM: Mr, Chairman, Sir, this Question is in the name of Shri Ashok Mitra who is not here, and mis is regarding Indian contingent for the Atlanta Olympics. You can permit us to put this question.

MR. CHAIRMAN: According to the rules, we would take it up at the end.

PROF. VIJAY KUMAR MALHOTRA: Mr. Chairman, Sir, it is a very important question.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Question No. 43, Shri Mohindar Singh Kalyan. He is not here. Question No. 44, Shri Parag Chaliha.

*"43. [The Questioner (Shri Mohinder Singh Kalyan) was absent. For answer vide col...27 infra.]*

**Stopping of Special Dispensation admissions in Kendriya Vidyalayas**

*****44. SHRI PARAG CHALIHA: Will the Master of H1.'M\N m .SOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Special Dispensation admissions in Kendriya Vidyalayas have since been put to a stop; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI S.R. BOMMAI): (a) (and (b) On a writ petition filed in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi the Court has directed that no Special Dispensation admissions: shall be made until the matter is finally disposed off.

SHRI PARAG CHALIHA: The reply is evasive and has been answered partly. My plea is that so far this was a privilege enjoyed by all Members of Parliament, but now they have been deprived of it to the extent of 50 per cent. So, will the Minister be pleased to state whether this particular aspect would be given a new thought and whether something would be done to restore the privilege of Members of Parliament with regard to special-dispensation admissions in Kendriya Vidyalayas?

MR. CHAIRMAN: The court has stopped this.

SHRI S.R. BOMMAI: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I would give some more details. A Consultative Committee of the Department gave a report and they suggested that 10 per cent of the seats may be given to Members of Parliament and to Ministers from the discretionary quota. But unfortunately, Sir, I must say that in the year 1995-96, it has gone to 14.40 per cent. The Employees Association have filed a public interest litigation in the High Court.

And the High Court passed an order. Therefore, we cannot do anything. But we have already requested the High Court to expedite the matter, to hear the matter early, by way of an application. The High Court has reopened yesterday. We have moved the High Court to take up the matter and decide it finally.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Second supplementary. *(Interruptions).*

SHRI PARAG CHALIHA: The Parliametary Standing Committee recommended that at least 200 Kendriya Vidyalayas, every year, be established. In spite of that, there has been a full stoppage to the whole thing. May I know from the hon. Minister whether he will take steps to see that Kendriya Vidyalayas are more in number every year so that Special Dispensations can be made?

SHRI S.R. BOMMAI: I will take note of the suggestions, Sir.

**श्री मूलचन्द मीणा : सभापति महोदय, मैं मंत्री महोदय से यह जानना चाहूंगा कि प्रत्येक संसद सदस्य को दो छात्रों को केन्द्रीय विद्यालय में प्रवेश दिलाने की जो विशेष छूट है तथा सरकार द्वारा और मंत्री जी द्वारा जो अपने कोटे से भी ज्यादा एडमिशन दिए गए थे उस कारण हाई कोर्ट ने रोक लगाई है। क्या एम॰पीज॰ के कोटे पर भी यह रोक लगाई है?**

SHRI S.R. BOMMAI: Sir, I sympathise very much with hon. Members. They should have their quota. That was only a recommendation of the Committee. But somehow, the employees have gone to the Court The Court order is there. We will expedite the matter and get the matter settled as early as possible. So far as the figures are concerned, I will give them. *(Interruptions).*

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: The Government has volunteered to give up this quota.

SHRI S.R. BOMMAI: No. *(Interruptions).* Please listen to me. I am for giving the quota. But that should be limited within the recommendation of the Committee. It should not exceed it. *(Interruptions).* Please hear me. I am placing all the facts here.

Last year, so far as the HRD Minister is concerned, admission was'given for 4476 seats; State Minister — 742; M.Ps., Rajya Sabha — 350; Hon. Ministers (other Ministers) — 165; Members of the Consultative Standing Committee and VIPs — 136; Chairman, VMC — 160; and senior officers — 101. These are the details. Of course, the Minister's quota has been more. Maybe, there were pressures from MPs and VIPs and the Minister had acted *bona fide. (Interruptions).*

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: How did it happen? An M.P. has to recommend only two persons. Now, the Consultative Committee Members are also M.Ps. They get two. Why was any extra quota allowed? Then, there are the Ministers. They are also Members of Parliament. Some may be like Shri Ramoowalia may not be members for some time. Otherwise, they are M.Ps. Every M.P. can recommend two. Why, for the wrongs of the

Ministry and the Minister, should the ordinary M.Ps. be deprived of the privilege of according a facility to the common people?

SHRI S.R. BOMMAI: I agree with the hon. Member. M.Ps. have not exceeded very much. *(Interruptions).*

I agree with you and I sympathise with you. *(Interruption).*

SHRI K.R. MALKANI: We do not want your symapthy. We want our due of two seats. *(Interruptions)*

SHRI S.R. BOMMAI: The then Minister must have been under pressure to do it. *(Interruptions)*

SHRI K.R. MALKANI: Then what action do you propose to take against the Minister? *(Interruptions)*

SHRIMATIRENUKA CHOWDHURY: Sir, admissions are due and they are going to be over very soon. We want to know whether we are entitled to send two children to school. Can we do this in this academic session or can we not do it? This is what we want to know. The *post mortem* of the whole episode can be done later. *(Interruptions)*

MR. CHAIRMAN: I cannot understand what you are talking about. Let the Minister answer. *(Interruptions)*

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Sir, a Central School was sanctioned in Jaipur last year. The Government has not provided any funds. I have given ten lakhs of rupees to the Central School for construction of the building. But I cannot get even two children admitted. My criterion is, those parents who have got only daughters, should be given this benefit. So, why should we suffer? Why should the Members of Parliament suffer for two seats? For 750 Members of Parliament, only 1,500 admissions are required. You yourself give 1,500 admissions as a Minister. By you I mean your predecessor. This is very wrong. You should take some steps to protect our rights. *(Interruptions)*

SHRI S.R. BOMMAI: Sir, I thank the hon. Member for the donation on behalf of the Government for the Central School. But the position is that we have already moved the court for an early hearing. *(Interruptions)*

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: No, no. I am not for early hearing. I am for vacation of the stay order so far as MPs are concerned.

SHRI S.R. BOMMAI: Early hearing means early hearing of the stay order. I am speaking about that interim order and I will try to get it vacated as early as possible. *(Interruptions)*

**श्री शिव चरण सिंह : मैं माननीय मंत्री जी से पूछना चाहता हूं, गत सत्र में मेरे प्रश्न के उत्तर में यह सारा घोटाला खुला है, एक लोक सभा सदस्य को दो एडमिशन का अधिकार है, मिनिस्ट्रों को पाँच का अधिकार है और अधिकारी जो सेक्रेटरी लैवल के हैं, बिजनिस रूल्स के तहत, उनको अलग-अलग अधिकार हैं। यह जो ज्यादा एडमिशन दिए हैं उन सब के खिलाफ क्या कार्रवाई करेंगे?* और एमपीज़ के कोटे के जो दो एडमिशन हैं, उनको आप काटें, यह बात कहां तक उचित है? आप एमपीज़ को दिये गये अधिकारों को कब तक वापिस दिलायेंगे और मिनिस्टरों के खिलाफ क्या कार्रवाई करेंगे? उसका उत्तर दें?**

SHRI AJIT P. K. JOGI: Such an allegation cannot be made. This is not permitted. *(Interruptions)*

**श्री शिव चरण सिंह : मेरे प्रश्न के उत्तर में सदन में कहा है (...व्यवधान...)**

SHRIMATI MARGRET ALVA: This is not fair. *(Interruptions)*

SHRI AJIT P.K. JOGI: This should be expunged. *(Interruptions).* This must be expunged. *(Interruptions)*

MR. CHAIRMAN: I call the Minister to answer. Please sit down. *{Interruptions)*

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: The hon'ble Member cannot .level charges like this. This are allegations which are unfounded. *(Interruptions)*

SHRI AJIT P.K. JOGI: This is against rules. This cannot go on record. No allegation of this kind can be made without giving prior notice to the Chair. *(Interruptions)* We are all interested in admissions. *(Interruptions)*

**श्री शिव चरण सिंह : मेरे प्रश्न के उत्तर में बताया है कि 4,474 लोगों को एडमीशन दिया। क्या मंत्री को अधिकार था कि चार-चार हजार एडमिशन कराये? पाँच एडमिशन की जगह पर चार हजार एडमिशन मंत्री कराता है। (...व्यवधान...) मेरे प्रश्न के उत्तर में बताया है यह (...व्यवधान...)**

MR. CHAIRMAN: I will call the Minister to answer. Will you please sit down? *(Interruptions)*

* Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

**श्री रामदास अग्रवाल : संसद सदस्यों ने जो कहा है वह मिनिस्टरों ने किया है (..व्यवधान..)**

MR. CHAIRMAN : The allegation will be expunged. *(Interruptions)* Do you want a reply to the question or do you want me to go to the next question? *(Interruptions)*

SHRI K.R. MALKANI: If you give admissions on merit, it is okay. But if admissions are given for monetary consideration, then this is not right. *(Interruptions)*

**श्री शिव चरण सिंह : मान्यवर जाँच करवाईये, 4,474 एडमिशन कैसे हुये हैं? मैं जाँच को फेस करने के लिए तैयार हूं। जाँच करवा दीजिए, पार्लियामेंट्री कमेटी जाँच करे तो (..व्यवधान..) मैं इसका फैसला आप पर छोड़ता हूं। (..व्यवधान..) 4474 एडमिशन्स ....(व्यवधान)**

**श्री अजित जोगी : ....(व्यवधान) हमारी चुनौती है (व्यवधान)**

MR. CHAIRMAN: I go to the other question. Question *No...(Interruptions)...*

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: May I suggest, Sir, that, if the hon. Minister has no objection, a House Committee be set ^....*(Interruptions)*

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: What are you talking about? ...*(Interruptions)...*

SHRI S.B. CHAVAN: Sir, the point which is being raised is this. In fact, under the rules if any allegations of this nature are to be made, a notice has to be given to the Chairman so that the Minister will be in a position to give all information, if he wants to give, to the House. But making an allegation without giving a proper notice to the Chair is not correct even according to the rules. So, a mere expunction from the records is not sufficient. He has to first give a notice, So, he should say, "I have withdrawn."; otherwise, it will 1% very difficult. Now he has gone to the extent of asking for a House Committee, but he should give a notice; by all means you can appoint anything. .. .*(Interruptions)...*

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL,: Sir, in this very House, a House Committee has been set *up...(Interruptions)...*

A House Committee should be set up, if the Minister agrees to that....*(Interruptions)...*

SOME HON. MEMBERS: No, no, no, no, no.

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: It happened last time in the case of wagons.... *(Interruptions)....*

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: There has to be a motion in the House. ...*(Interruptions)....* There has to be a motion in the House for constituting a House Committee. It cannot be just taken as an item during supplementaries in the Question Hour, Sir. It cannot be taken like that There is no question... *(Interruptions)....*

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Didn't it happen in the Question Hour regarding the supply of wagons? ...*(Interruptions)....*

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Sir, let the hon. Member bring a motion; we shall very honestly and openly consider that..

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: I agree to that.

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: I request you, Sir: let us maintain me decorum and dignity of the House. We stick to the rules. We are second to none in fighting corrupt practices, but we must follow rules to get things done, it cannot be made an item during supplementaries in the Question Hour. *(Interruptions)....*

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: Sir, I only wish to put the record straight. Sir, the record is this. Did you not constitute a House Committee in this House during supplementaries in the Question Hour when the question of supply of wagons or availability of wagons was raised? Mr. Jaffer Sharief agreed to that. Chaturanan Mishraji was a witness to that. The House Committee was announced here in this very House during the Question Hour; during the supplementaries it was done.

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY That is not the subject....*(Interruptions)....*

THE MINISTER *0$* AGRICULTURE (SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA): It was done so when the Minister agreed to that. But if he does not agree, then how can you do it? ... *(Interruptions)....*

SHRI RAMDAS AGARWAL: That is a different matter. ....*(Interruptions)....*

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: That is what I said, 'if the Minister agrees'. But why should he not agree? ....*(Interruptions)....*

MR. CHAIRMAN: Please sit down.

SHRI S.R. BOMMAI: Sir, admission on the recommendations of Ministers and other VIPs also are there. ...*(Interruptions)*... Under what circumstances the Minister acted, I do not know. There is no question of agreeing for a House Committee. ...*(Interruptions)*...

MR. CHAIRMAN: I pass on to Question No. 45.

*45. [The Questioner (Shri H. Hanumanthappa) was absent. For answers vide -Co!..29 infra.]

**Use of Incomplete Structure of Indira Gandhi Sports Complex**

*46. SHRI RAMDAS AGARWAL: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news-item published in the 'Indian Express' dated the 20th May, 1996 captioned "Sports Authority's lease plans grounded by red tape" regarding the use of the incomplete structure of the Indira Gandhi Sports Complex lying abandoned for more than 12 years;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) what is the present position of this building and who is responsible for the lapses?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI S.R. BOMMAI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) This building was taken up for construction by DDA in 1982 for IXth Asian Games in New Delhi. However, it could not be completed in time. It has been proposed to offer the premises to hoteliers on lease basis by inviting open tenders. An open tender document has been prepared and has been referred for vetting by Ministry of Law. No final decision has been taken.

MR. CHAIRMAN : Mr. Ramdas Agarwal, your question has come.

SHRI RAMDAS AGARWAL: I am lucky. Sir

मैं आपके सामने मंत्री महोदय से जो प्रश्न पूछना चाहता हूं वह यह है कि एक बिल्डिंग बनाई गई थी। उसमें करीब 200 करोड़ रुपये का प्रोजेक्ट बनाया गया था। उसको 12 वर्ष में भी पूरा नहीं किया गया। साढ़े चार एकड़ में 39 हजार स्क्वायर मीटर एरिया कंस्ट्रक्ट किया गया। जिस उद्देश्य से यह प्रोजेक्ट बनाया गया था वह खत्म हो गया क्योंकि उस समय यह बिल्डिंग पूरी नहीं हो पाई थी। लेकिन बाद में इस बिल्डिंग को कभी तो डी.डी.ए. को दे दिया और कभी दूसरे आर्गनाइजेशंस को दिया गया। कभी यह कहा गया कि वहां होटल बनेगा, कभी कहा गया कि वहां हास्पिटल बनेगा। इस प्रकार से यह बिल्डिंग इधर से उधर हो रही है, खाली पड़ी है, बरबाद हो रही है। इस प्रकार की जो यह प्राइम प्रापर्टी है इसका सही उपयोग क्यों सरकार पिछले 12 सालों में नहीं उठा पाई, यह मेरे क्वेश्चन का पहला भाग है? दूसरा भाग मेरा यह है और मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि आखिर उन लोगों ने, जिन्होंने इतना समय इसमें बरबाद किया है जिसके कारण यह 200 करोड़ रुपये की प्रापर्टी अभी तक बेकार पड़ी हुई है तो इस का सदुपयोग करने के लिए जो अधिकारी जिम्मेदार थे, उनके खिलाफ किसी प्रकार का कोई कदम सरकार ने उठाया है या उठाना चाहती है, यह मेरा पहला प्रश्न है?

SHRI S.R. BOMMAI: Sir, it is unfortunate that the building, which was to be constructed by the DDA in 1982 when the Asian Games took place in New Delhi, was not completed. Thereafter it was given to the Delhi Administration. The Delhi Administration had offered this building to the Sports Authority of India. We require a huge amount to build the building. Now there is a proposal. The DDA started to construct it as a hotel because of the Asian Games. It wis expected that tourists would come and stay there. This building, which was half-finished, was meant for a hotel. Now there is a proposal to give it to a hotel and tenders were called for. But sufficient tenders were not received. Therefore, the Sports Authority of India is again contemplating to call for tenders and the proposal is pending before the Law Department. I agree that a huge investment has been made. Nearly Rs. 25 crores have been spent already. But the building has not been utilised for so many years. I agree with the sentiments expressed here by the hon. Member. But it had passed from one hand to another and the Administration has not been able to complete the building and to make use of it. Therefore, a quick decision would be taken by calling tenders and finalising them.